

		is necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend after the deadline. However, except as provided in Patent Rule 3-6, if the amendment would affect infringement contentions or invalidity contentions, a motion must be made pursuant to Patent Rule 3-6 irrespective of whether the amendment is made prior to this deadline.
Fri. May 2, 2014	Fri., April 4, 2014	Expert Discovery Deadline
Fri. Apr. 4, 2014	Fri., Feb. 28, 2014	Parties designate rebuttal expert witnesses (non-construction issues), Rebuttal expert witness reports due.
Mon. Mar. 3, 2014	Fri., Jan. 24, 2014	<p>Party with the burden of proof to designate Expert Witnesses (non-construction issues); Expert Witness Reports due.</p> <p>Deadline to File Letter Briefs for Summary Judgment Motions and <i>Daubert</i> Motions.</p> <p>Apple shall make an election of no more than ten (10)</p>
Fri. Jan. 31, 2014	None	Core Wireless shall make an election of no more than four (4) asserted claims per patent.
Fri., Jan. 24, 2014	Fri., Dec. 6, 2013	Fact Discovery Deadline
Fri., Dec. 20, 2013	Mon., Nov. 11, 2013	<p>Document Production Deadline. Comply with P.R. 3-7 – Furnish documents and privilege logs pertaining to willful infringement.</p> <p>Exchange privilege logs as directed in paragraph 5 of the Discovery Order.</p>

The Parties are further **ORDERED** to include the below language into the Amended

Docket Control Order:

II. COMPLETING PARTY (NOT THIRD-PARTY) DOCUMENT DISCOVERY

- a. On Friday, November 1, 2013, the parties will exchange bullet-point lists that specifically describe the remaining documents that each side seeks from the other. These should be as specific as practicable and not open-ended.
- b. On Wednesday, November 6, 2013, the parties will exchange responses to the bullet-point lists, with specific agreements to produce requested documents by the document production deadline, or with non-agreement, in which case such issues will be ripe for a lead counsel meet-and-confer.
- c. As soon thereafter as lead counsel's schedules allow, lead counsel will meet-and-confer on any remaining documents disputes, and any issues on which the parties reach impasse can be raised with the Court.
- d. With regard to specific document(s) identified at a deposition that fall into either (1) previously agreed-upon categories, or (2) the bullet-point lists generated during the process described above, a party may request production of such specific document(s). But, a party cannot use deposition discovery to request new categories of documents.
- e. This process will not address document production pursuant to third-party subpoenas.

III. OTHER PROVISIONS

- a. This agreement is without prejudice to Apple seeking further claim narrowing in advance of trial, and Core Wireless seeking further prior art narrowing in advance of trial.
- b. The parties agree that for the purposes of this case (including limits on the number of prior art references), a standard specification (*e.g.*, the 3GPP UMTS specification) shall count as a single prior art reference.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 5th day of November, 2013.


JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE